

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/594,322	06	/15/2000	Antonio Nevarez	9049.00 8854		9049.00 8854	
26890	7590	09/01/2005		EXAMINER			
JAMES M.			BACKER, FIRMIN				
NCR CORPO		SON BLVD, WHO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
DAYTON, (, ,	3621				

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/594,322	NEVAREZ, ANTONIO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FIRMN BACKER	3621		

Potoro the Eiling of an Annual Priof				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	FIRMN BACKER	3621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply more	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	<i>x</i>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l They raise new issues that would require further contains 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause	
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	•			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	<u> </u>	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will be will be will will will be	ll be entered and an e	explanation of	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-26.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		in y io soloti oi allao.	.00.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:	
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other: 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s).	//	
	,	Frank S	nel	
		FIRMN BACKER Primary Examiner		

Art Unit: 3621